

Proton and Ion Linear Accelerators

8. Radio Frequency Quadrupole (RFQ) Accelerator

Yuri Batygin

Los Alamos National Laboratory

U.S. Particle Accelerator School

Albuquerque, New Mexico, June 17-28, 2019

Short History of RFQ

1956 V.V.Vladimirsky proposed the idea of RFQ focusing

4 electrodes with RF voltage have been used for mass separation since 1950s


1962 V.A.Teplyakov and independently P.Lapostolle proposed drift tube linac with rectangular apertures

1969 I.M. Kapchinski and V.A. Teplyakov invented RFQ. The first publication in 1969 in the Proc. of HEP Accelerator Conference


1972 First operational RFQ in Protvino, Russia; Proton beam accelerated from 100 keV to 600 keV

1980- RFQ is tested in LANL: 30 mA beam accelerated from 100 keV to 650 keV

RFQ Focusing in Spatially Periodic Structures




V.V.Vladimirsky's idea was to skip axial-symmetry of electric field in accelerating gaps. Drift tubes with fingers inserted into accelerating gaps were proposed. The azimuthal orientation of the fingers periodically changed by 90° .




In 1962 V.A.Teplyakov and independently P.Lapostolle proposed drift tube linac with rectangular apertures.

Radio Frequency Separator (Paul Trap)




The quadrupole consists of four parallel metal rods. Each opposing rod pair is connected together electrically, and a (RF) voltage with a DC offset voltage is applied between one pair of rods and the other. Ions travel down the quadrupole between the rods. Only ions of a certain mass-to-charge ratio will reach the detector for a given ratio of voltages: other ions have unstable trajectories and will collide with the rods. This permits selection of an ion with a particular A/Z or allows the operator to scan for a range of A/Z -values by continuously varying the applied voltage.



Bunch of ions

Radio Frequency Quadrupole



$$U(r, \theta, t) = U_a \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \cos(2\theta) \cos(\omega t)$$

Potential between electrodes $U_L = 2U_a$

Dynamics in 4-Rods Uniform Structure

Equations of transverse oscillations in 4-rods uniform focusing structure

$$m \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = -\frac{2qU_a x}{a^2} \cos \omega t$$

$$m \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = \frac{2qU_a x}{a^2} \cos \omega t$$

Averaging method

$$f_1 = \frac{2qU_a x}{a^2} \quad \omega = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda}$$

$$\xi = -\frac{f_1}{m\omega^2}$$

Equation of averaged oscillations in 4-rods structure

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} + \Omega_r^2 X = 0$$

Frequency of averaged oscillations in 4-rods structure


$$\Omega_r = \frac{\sqrt{2}qU_a \lambda}{2\pi mca^2}$$

Small oscillation term



$$v_{\max} = \frac{|\xi_{\max}|}{x} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{qU_a \lambda^2}{mc^2 a^2}$$

Axial Accelerating Field

To produce the axial field suppose we modulate the vane tips along the axial direction. If this is done with x and y modulations that are 180 degrees out of phase, the on-axis potential will follow the potential variations of the vane tips and a sinusoidal on-axis electric field is produced.



Potential of RFQ Structure



Cross sections of modulated electrodes in the RFQ accelerating structure.

RFQ potential of this structure is a solution of Laplace's equation in 3D domain:

Boundary conditions:

$$V(r, \theta, z) = V(r, -\theta, z)$$

$$V(r, \theta, z) = V(r, \theta, -z)$$

$$V(r, \theta, z) = V(r, \theta + \pi, z)$$

$$V(r, \theta, z) = -V(r, \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}, z + \frac{L}{2})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(r, \theta, z) = & \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s r^{2(2s+1)} \cos[2(2s+1)\theta] \\
 & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} A_{ns} I_{2s}(k_z nr) \cos(2s\theta) \sin(k_z nz)
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation for Ideal Electrodes

Potential containing first two terms in potential expansion

$$V(r, \theta, z) = -U_a \left[\chi \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \cos 2\theta + \frac{4T}{\pi} I_o \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda} \right) \sin \left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta\lambda} \right) \right]$$

Equation for ideal electrode surface:

$$r^2 \cos 2\theta = \left(\frac{a^2}{\chi} \right) \left[\pm 1 - \frac{4T}{\pi} I_o \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda} \right) \sin \left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta\lambda} \right) \right]$$

Shape of ideal electrodes depend on position z . At $z=0$ electrodes have pure hyperbolic shape.

From boundary conditions determine coefficients T, χ


$$V(r, \theta, z) = U_a$$

$$r = a, \theta = 0, z = \frac{\beta\lambda}{4}$$

$$r = ma, \theta = 0, z = \frac{3\beta\lambda}{4}$$

$$T = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 I_o \left(\frac{2\pi a}{\beta\lambda} \right) + I_o \left(\frac{2m\pi a}{\beta\lambda} \right)}$$

$$\chi = 1 - \frac{4T}{\pi} I_o \left(\frac{2\pi a}{\beta\lambda} \right)$$



$$A = \frac{4}{\pi} T$$

Common notation: efficiency of acceleration

Ideal RFQ Electrodes and Energy Gain per Cell

Radius of electrodes at the point of exact quadrupole symmetry

$$R_o = \frac{a + ma}{2}$$

$$R_o = \frac{a}{\sqrt{\chi}}$$

Aperture of the channel $a = R_o \frac{2}{m+1}$

Equations for electrode modulation

$$x = R_o \left[1 + \frac{m-1}{m+1} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta\lambda}\right) \right]$$

$$y = R_o \left[1 - \frac{m-1}{m+1} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta\lambda}\right) \right]$$

Longitudinal field component

$$E_z(r, z, t) = 4 \frac{U_L T}{\beta\lambda} I_o \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta\lambda}\right) \cos(\omega t)$$


Equivalent traveling wave

$$E = 2 \frac{U_L T}{\beta\lambda} I_o \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda}\right) \cos \varphi$$

Energy gain per RFQ cell $\beta\lambda/2$

$$\Delta W = q U_L T \cos \varphi$$

Peak Field




Field distribution at RFQ electrodes (P. Ostroumov, 2006)

Maximal RFQ field is achieved at the surface of electrode where $r = ma$ (I. Kapchinsky, 1972))

$$E_{\max} = \frac{U_L}{a} \left[\chi m + 4T \left(\frac{a}{\beta \lambda} \right) I_1 \left(\frac{2\pi ma}{\beta \lambda} \right) \right]$$

Usually peak surface field is held below $2E_k$ (Kilpatrick limit).

Kilpatrick Limited RF Field



Kilpatrick limited RF field E_K [MV/m]

Modified Kilpatrick Limit for RFQ

Modified Kilpatrick limit for RFQ
(A.A. Kolomiets, 2005):

$$gE_{KP}^3 \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-48.6E_{KP}}{gf^2}\right) \right) = 1.8 \cdot 10^5 \exp\left(\frac{170}{E_{KP}}\right)$$

$$g = R_0 \left(\sqrt{2} \left(1 + \frac{R_e}{R_0} \right) - 2 \frac{R_e}{R_0} \right)$$


E_{KP} [kV/cm] is the Kilpatrick limit of the peak surface field

f [MHz] is the operational frequency

g [cm] is the minimum distance between unmodulated RFQ electrodes

$$R_0 = \frac{a}{\sqrt{\chi}} \text{ is the average radius}$$

Y.K. Batygin RFQ USPAS 2019



Hamiltonian of Particle Motion in RFQ Field

Hamiltonian of particle motion in RFQ field

$$H = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2}{2m} - qU_a \left[\chi \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \cos 2\theta + \frac{4T}{\pi} I_o \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda} \right) \sin \left(\frac{2\pi z}{\beta\lambda} \right) \right] \cos \omega t$$

Longitudinal momentum deviation from synchronous particle

$$p_\zeta = p_z - p_s$$

Deviation from synchronous particle

$$\zeta = z - z_s$$

Hamiltonian in new variables:

$$H = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_\xi^2}{2m} - qU_a \chi \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \cos 2\theta \cos \omega t + qU_a \frac{2T}{\pi} \left[I_o \left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda} \right) \sin(\varphi_s - k_z \xi) + k_z \xi \cos \varphi_s \right]$$

Hamiltonian of Averaged Particle Oscillations

After averaging $\frac{2qU_a\chi}{ma^2} \cos \omega t \rightarrow \Omega_r^2$, Hamiltonian of averaged particle motion

$$H = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_\xi^2}{2m} + \frac{m\Omega_r^2 r^2}{2} + qU_a \frac{2T}{\pi} \left[I_o\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda}\right) \sin(\varphi_s - k_z \xi) + k_z \xi \cos \varphi_s \right]$$

Transverse oscillation frequency

$$\Omega_r = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \chi \frac{qU_L}{mc^2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2a}\right)^2$$

Hamiltonian of longitudinal motion: $H = \frac{p_\xi^2}{2m} + \frac{qU_L T}{\pi} \left[I_o\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\beta\lambda}\right) \sin(\varphi_s - k_z \xi) + k_z \xi \cos \varphi_s \right]$

For small longitudinal oscillations

$$H = \frac{p_\xi^2}{2m} + m\Omega^2 I_o(k_z r) \frac{\zeta^2}{2} + m\Omega^2 \frac{\zeta}{k_z \operatorname{tg} \varphi_s} [I_o(k_z r) - 1]$$

Longitudinal oscillation frequency is determined by

$$\Omega^2 = \omega^2 \frac{qU_L T \sin \varphi_s}{\pi m (\beta c)^2}$$

In RFQ accelerator longitudinal oscillations depend on transverse oscillations.

Quasi-Stationary Bunching in RFQ

Basic idea of quasi-stationary bunching is to keep geometrical bunch size and longitudinal beam phase space distribution constant. In this case, bunches do not oscillate and space charge density is close to constant. Bunches are cut from continuous beam and move apart from each other without changes in beam distribution.

Geometrical length of separatrix is

$$Z_s = \beta\lambda \frac{\Phi_s}{2\pi}$$

To keep length of the bunch, we impose condition $Z_s = \text{const}$

$$\boxed{\beta\Phi_s = \text{const}}$$

Separatrix is related to synchronous phase through condition

$$\text{tg}|\varphi_s| = \frac{\Phi_s - \sin\Phi_s}{1 - \cos\Phi_s}$$

Synchronous phase has to decrease from $\varphi_s = -90^\circ$ till final value φ_{fin} to keep product $\beta\Phi_s = \text{const}$.

Quasi-Stationary Bunching in RFQ (cont.)

Hamiltonian of small longitudinal oscillations near axis

$$H = \frac{p_{\xi}^2}{2m} + m\Omega^2 \frac{\zeta^2}{2}$$

Equation for phase space trajectory

$$\frac{p_{\zeta}^2}{p_{\zeta \max}^2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{\zeta_{\max}^2} = 1$$

Semi-axis of ellipse

$$\zeta_{\max} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sqrt{\frac{2H}{m}}$$

$$p_{\zeta \max} = \sqrt{2Hm} = \zeta_{\max} \Omega m$$

According to adiabatic theorem, phase space area is constant:

$$\varepsilon_z = \frac{p_{\zeta \max}}{mc} \zeta_{\max} = \frac{2H}{mc\Omega}$$

Condition $\Omega = \text{const}$ guarantees conservation of phase space trajectories and preserves distribution of charge density within linear part of separatrix.

$$\boxed{\frac{TU_L \sin \varphi_s}{\beta_s^2} = \text{const}}$$

Design of Quasi-Stationary Buncher

Conditions $\Omega = \text{const}$, $Z_s = \text{const}$ determine dependence of acceleration efficiency T and synchronous phase φ_s on energy of synchronous particle W_s

$$\Phi_s(\varphi_s) = \Phi_s(\varphi_{fin}) \sqrt{\frac{W_{fin}}{W_s}}$$

$$T(W_s) = T(W_{fin}) \frac{W_s \sin \varphi_{fin}}{W_{fin} \sin \varphi_s}$$

Synchronous phase is related to separatrix as


$$\text{tg}|\varphi_s| = \frac{\Phi_s - \sin \Phi_s}{1 - \cos \Phi_s}$$

Design starts with determination of initial values of φ_s , W_s , and final buncher values of φ_{fin} , W_{fin} . At every iterative step the new value of energy of synchronous particle is determined:

$$\Delta W_s = qU_L T \cos \varphi_s$$

which determines new values of synchronous phase φ_s and new value of acceleration efficiency T . The value of T and W_s determine modulation coefficient and cell length.


RFQ Structure



Typical RFQ design includes:

1. Radial matcher
2. Shaper (preliminary buncher with linear ramp the phase and acc. efficiency along z)
3. Gentle Buncher (quasi-stationary buncher)
4. Accelerator

Variation of RFQ Parameters Along Structure




Acceleration efficiency

$$A = \frac{4}{\pi} T$$

Rigidity of the channel


$$B = \chi \frac{qU_L}{mc^2} \frac{\lambda^2}{a^2}$$

Design of RFQ Accelerator




Radial Matcher

Transforms continuous beam into time-dependent beam.
 Vane radius decreases and focusing strength increases from zero to full value over a distance of few cells.



Vane profile of $3\text{-}\beta\lambda$ long radial matcher section (K.Crandall, 1984).



Transformation of beam envelopes in radial matcher.

Length of Buncher Section

Accelerating gradient

$$\frac{dW_s}{dz} = \frac{qU_L T \cos \varphi_s}{(\beta\lambda / 2)}$$

can be re-written as


$$\frac{1}{W_s} \frac{dW_s}{dz} = \frac{2}{Z_s} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right)^2 F_2(\varphi_s)$$

where function F_2

$$F_2(\varphi_s) = \frac{\Phi_s(\varphi_s)}{\text{tg}|\varphi_s|}$$

Function F_2 can be approximated as

$$\frac{3}{F_2} = 1 + 0.3\left(\frac{\Phi_s}{3}\right)^2 + 0.0964\left(\frac{\Phi_s}{3}\right)^4 + 0.029\left(\frac{\Phi_s}{3}\right)^6 + \dots$$



Length of Buncher Section (cont.)

Length of buncher section is determined by an integral:

$$L_{buncher} = \frac{Z_s}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega}\right)^2 \int_{W_o}^{W_{fin}} \frac{dW_s}{W_s F_2(\varphi_s)}$$

Integration taking into account condition for quasi-stationary buncher

$$\sqrt{W_s} \Phi_s = const$$

gives the length of buncher:

$$L_{buncher} = \frac{Z_s}{6} \left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega}\right)^2 \left\{ \ln \frac{W_{fin}}{W_o} + 0.3 \left(\frac{\Phi_{fin}}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{W_{fin}}{W_o} - 1\right) + 4.8216 \cdot 10^{-2} \left(\frac{\Phi_{fin}}{3}\right)^4 \left[\left(\frac{W_{fin}}{W_o}\right)^2 - 1\right] + 9.643 \cdot 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\Phi_{fin}}{3}\right)^6 \left[\left(\frac{W_{fin}}{W_o}\right)^3 - 1\right] + 2.0548 \cdot 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\Phi_{fin}}{3}\right)^8 \left[\left(\frac{W_{fin}}{W_o}\right)^4 - 1\right] + \dots \right\}$$

Number of RFQ Cells in Quasi-Stationary Buncher

Increment of particle energy per per RFQ cell $\beta\lambda/2$ $\frac{dW_s}{dn} = qU_L T \cos\varphi_s = 2\pi\left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{W_s}{\text{tg}\varphi_s}$

Approximation of phase length of the bunch $\Phi_s \approx 2\pi \text{tg} \frac{\varphi_s}{2}$

Condition for quasi-stationary buncher $\sqrt{W_s} \text{tg} \frac{\varphi_s}{2} = \text{const}$

After integration, the number of RFQ cells $\beta\lambda/2$ in quasi-stationary buncher:

$$N = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega}\right)^2 \ln \left[\frac{\left(1 - \frac{\Phi_{fin}}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\Phi_o}{2\pi}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\Phi_{fin}}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\Phi_o}{2\pi}\right)} \right]$$

Length of RFQ Accelerator Section

In accelerator section, synchronous phase $\varphi_s = \text{const}$, and acceleration efficiency T is approximately constant:

$$T \approx \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{m^2 - 1}{m^2 + 1} \right)$$

Energy gain per cell is constant:

$$\Delta W_s = qU_L T \cos \varphi_s = \text{const}$$

Accelerating gradient can be written as

$$\frac{dW_s}{dz} = \frac{\Delta W_s}{(\beta\lambda/2)} = \frac{\sqrt{2mc^2}}{\lambda} \frac{\Delta W_s}{\sqrt{W_s}}$$


Integration gives the length of RFQ accelerator section:

$$L_{acc} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2mc^2} \Delta W_s} \int_{W_{o_acc}}^{W_{fin_acc}} \sqrt{W_s} dW_s$$


$$L_{acc} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{\lambda mc^2}{\Delta W_s} \left[\left(\frac{W_{fin_acc}}{mc^2} \right)^{3/2} - \left(\frac{W_{o_acc}}{mc^2} \right)^{3/2} \right]$$

Transition Cell

Transition cell makes a smooth transition from full modulation at the last accelerating cell to no modulation and pure quadrupole symmetry at the end of the transition cell (K.Crandall, 1994).



Three regular cells, a transition cell and a short unmodulated end section of ISAC RFQ at TRIUMF (S. Koscielniak et al, PAC97).



Vane-tip profile in final accelerating cell followed by a transition cell.

Radial RFQ Dynamics

Transverse particle oscillation in an RF field in the smooth approximation

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + x \left[\Omega_{rs}^2 - \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \text{ctg} \varphi_s \Phi \sin(\Omega t + \psi_o) \right] = 0$$

Transverse oscillation frequency of a synchronous particle in the presence of an RF field. Stability of transverse oscillations requires $\Omega_{rs} > 0$

$$\Omega_{rs}^2 = \Omega_r^2 - \frac{\Omega^2}{2}$$

$$\Omega_r > \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\frac{qU_L}{W_s} > \frac{32\pi^3 T}{\chi^2} \left(\frac{a}{\beta\lambda} \right)^4$$

Parametric resonance occurs when $a = n^2$

$$\Omega_{rs} = \frac{n}{2} \Omega, \quad n = 1, 2, 3$$

In an RFQ linac, the transverse oscillation frequency is typically larger than the longitudinal oscillation frequency, and the first parametric resonance instability region is avoided. The potentially dangerous region in this case is the second parametric resonance bandwidth where $n = 2$. Instabilities of higher-order resonance regions are typically unimportant.

Transverse Acceptance

Phase advance of synchronous particle
 $\mu_s = \Omega_{rs} S / \beta c$ per focusing period $S = \beta \lambda$

$$\mu_s = \Omega_{rs} \lambda / c$$

$$\mu_s^2 = \frac{B^2}{8\pi^2} - 2\pi^2 \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right)^2$$

Rigidity of channel

$$B = \chi \frac{qU_L}{mc^2} \frac{\lambda^2}{a^2}$$

Transverse un-normalized acceptance

$$A = \frac{a^2 \mu_s}{S (1 + v_{\max})^2} = \frac{a^2 \mu_s}{\beta \lambda (1 + v_{\max})^2}$$

From averaging method

$$v_{\max} = \frac{|\xi_{\max}|}{x}$$

$$\xi = -\frac{f_1}{m\omega^2}$$

$$f_1 = \chi \frac{qU_L x}{a^2}$$

$$v_{\max} = \frac{B}{4\pi^2}$$

Transverse normalized acceptance

$$\epsilon_{ch} = \gamma \frac{a^2}{\lambda} \frac{\mu_s}{\left(1 + \frac{B}{4\pi^2}\right)^2}$$

Beam Current Limits in RFQ

RFQ focusing period

$$S = \beta\lambda$$

RFQ amplitude of equivalent traveling wave

$$E = 2 \frac{U_L T}{\beta\lambda}$$

Transverse current limit

$$I_{\max, t} = \frac{I_c}{3\pi} \beta \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^2 \frac{\mu_s^2 |\varphi_s|}{(1 - M_z)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{ch}}\right)^2\right]$$


Longitudinal current limit

$$I_{\max, l} = I_c \left(\frac{qU_L T}{mc^2}\right) \frac{\varphi_s^2 \sin \varphi_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_z^2}{\widehat{\varepsilon}_{acc}^2}\right)$$

Approximate longitudinal normalized acceptance


$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_{acc} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \beta^2 \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega}\right) \varphi_s^2 \lambda$$

Macroparticle Dynamics in RFQ




Macroparticle Dynamics in RFQ (cont.)

Input phase-space projections at cell 1




Phase-space projections at end of cell 155



Input and output beam distributions in 35keV/750keV/35mA proton RFQ.

Macroparticle Dynamics in RFQ (cont.)



Transverse and longitudinal emittance growth in 35keV/750keV/35mA proton RFQ.

Longitudinal Parametric Resonance in RFQ

Injection of low-velocity particles into an RFQ results in dependence of the longitudinal oscillation frequency on transverse particle position.

Equation of small-amplitude longitudinal oscillations for off-axis particles

$$\frac{d^2\zeta}{dt^2} + \Omega^2 I_0(k_z r) \zeta = \frac{\Omega^2}{k_z |tg\varphi_s|} [I_0(k_z r) - 1]$$

Averaged transverse oscillations can be approximated by

$$r = R \cos \Omega_{rs} t$$

Periodic function can be expanded in Fourier series

$$I_0(k_z R \cos \Omega_{rs} t) = I_0^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) \cdot \cos 2m\Omega_{rs} t$$

Because the amplitudes of the terms of the Bessel function drop off quickly, only the first two terms are important, resulting in the following equation of motion

$$\frac{d^2\zeta}{dt^2} + \Omega^2 \zeta \left[I_0^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) + 2 I_1^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) \cdot \cos 2\Omega_{rs} t \right] = \frac{\Omega^2}{k_z tg\varphi_s} \left[I_0^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) - 1 + 2 I_1^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) \cos 2\Omega_{rs} t \right]$$

Longitudinal Parametric Resonance in RFQ (cont.)

Analysis of longitudinal parametric instabilities includes

- (i) consideration of a Mathieu-type equation parametric resonance instability neglecting the right-side part equation
- (ii) external resonances, taking into account the right-hand external driving force of equation

Longitudinal parametric resonances occur when the following condition is fulfilled:

$$\frac{\Omega_{rs}}{\Omega} = \frac{I_o \left(\frac{k_z R}{2} \right)}{n} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

with the region of parametric instability defined as:

$$\frac{I_o^2 \left(\frac{k_z R}{2} \right)}{a_n} < \left(\frac{\Omega_{rs}}{\Omega} \right)^2 < \frac{I_o^2 \left(\frac{k_z R}{2} \right)}{b_n}$$

Longitudinal Parametric Resonance in RFQ (cont.)

where a_n, b_n determine Mathieu stability regions, and the parameter

$$q = \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{rs}}\right)^2 I_1^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right).$$

The first significant parametric resonance area is when $n = 1$. This leads to the following resonance bandwidth

$$I_o^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) - I_1^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) < \left(\frac{\Omega_{rs}}{\Omega}\right)^2 < I_o^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right) + I_1^2\left(\frac{k_z R}{2}\right).$$

An external resonance occurs when the transverse oscillation frequency is

$\Omega_{rs} = \frac{\Omega}{2} I_o(k_z R / 2)$. Both external and parametric resonances can be avoided

simultaneously when $\frac{\Omega_{rs}}{\Omega} > I_o(k_z a / 2)$

Parametric Resonance in RFQ










Fig. 4. Beam dynamics in RFQ with beam current $I = 35$ mA: (left) avoiding parametric resonances, (right) including parametric resonances: (a) RFQ parameters, (b) parametric resonance bandwidth: (green) Eq. (18), (red) Eq. (9), (c) beam emittances, (d) equipartitioning parameter, Eq. (5).

avoiding parametric resonances



including parametric resonances



Effect of Random Errors


Increase of the amplitude of longitudinal oscillations at each cell due to random errors

$$\frac{\langle \delta p_z \rangle^2}{P_z^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right)^4 \text{ctg}^2 \varphi_s \left(\left\langle \frac{\delta U_L}{U_L} \right\rangle^2 + \left\langle \frac{\delta T}{T} \right\rangle^2 \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right)^2 \left[1 + \pi^2 \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right)^2 \right] \left\langle \frac{\delta L}{L} \right\rangle^2$$

Increase of the transverse amplitude of particle oscillations at each cell


$$\left\langle \frac{\delta R}{R} \right\rangle^2 = 2 \left(\left\langle \frac{\delta r_o}{R} \right\rangle^2 + \left\langle \frac{\delta U_L}{U_L} \right\rangle^2 + 4 \left\langle \frac{\delta R_o}{R_o} \right\rangle^2 \right)$$

Typical limitations in RFQ vane tips manufacturing errors is $\Delta = 20 - 30$ microns.



Effect of manufacturing errors on beam emittance.

Example of RFQ Beam Dynamics




Dynamics of 35 mA proton beam in 201.25 MHz 4-rod RFQ (courtesy of Sergey Kurennoy).


Resonant RFQ Cavities

Transverse electric field is localized near vane tips.


Magnetic field is longitudinal localized in four outer quadrants.



End-cell of a four-vane RFQ.
The arrows show the direction
of the magnetic field
(M.Vretenar).



Four Vane Resonator
(TE_{210} - like)



Double-H-Resonator
($2 \cdot TE_{110}$ - like)

Cross sections of RFQ cavities.

1972 First Demo RFQ (Protvino, Russia)

Demo RFQ (IHEP, Protvino, Moscow Region, Russia)


1972

100 – 620 keV, 200 mA, protons


148.5 MHz, 0.0025 % duty

Cu-plated carbon steel cavity, brazed OFE Cu vanes

First operational RFQ, H-mode cavity




“BEAR” RFQ (LANL, 1989)



“BEAR” 30-keV to 1-MeV, 1-m long, 425-MHz, 30 mA H- RFQ operated in space for SDI missile defense program (1989). This RFQ is now in the Smithsonian.

CW European RFQ




The first two modules of TRASCO and the first module of IPHI RFQ.


Table 1 RFQ specifications

Particle	p	
Input Energy	80	KeV
Output Energy	5	MeV
Frequency	352.2	MHz
Current	30	mA
Max Surface Field	33	MV/m
RF Power consumption	<800	kW
Duty factor	100	%

TRASCO RFQ Design



CERN RFQs



CERN RFQ1, 202 MHz

RFQ for CERN LINAC4, 352 MHz






Figure 2: 3rd RFQ module.

LEDA RFQ (LANL, 1999)




Most powerful RFQ was 350-MHz 8-m long CW RFQ that accelerated a 100-mA proton beam at Los Alamos (LEDA) from 75-keV to 6.7-MeV

Suppression of Unwanted RFQ Modes


Vane coupling rings that electrically connect opposite vanes ensuring the same vane potentials. Shifts the dipole frequencies upwards eliminating their effect.

Tuning rods that shift the dipole mode frequencies upwards. The simplest approach is rods attached to the end plates that extend into the midplane of each quadrant.

Adjustable slug tuners in all four quadrants along the outer walls. These also allow us to adjust the longitudinal vane voltage profile and compensate for nearby longitudinal modes.



Various 4-vanes RFQ modes.





(a) Quadrupole and (b,c) dipole RFQ modes.

Y.K. Batygin RFQ USPAS 2019

4-Rod RFQ Structures


Mode mixing is not an issue
Simple tuning
Suitable for low frequencies < 200 MHz



4 rod RFQ structure (A.Schempp).

Y.K. Batygin RFQ USPAS 2019

Shunt impedance of RFQ Structures




4-Rod LANL RFQ for LANSCE Upgrade (2015)



- Resonance frequency: 201.25 MHz
- Particle energy: 35 – 750 MeV/u
- Electrode voltage: 50 kV
- Length: 2000 mm
- Cross section: 400 x 420 mm


RFQ by Kress, GmbH (Germany) for proton beam upgrade at LANL.

Four-Vane with Windows RFQ



P.Ostroumov, A.Kolomiets,
PRSTAB (2002)

Type = H-Field (peak)
Monitor = Mode 1
Maximum = 16204.2 A/m
Max. Arrow = 5015.06 A/m
Frequency = 57.6829
Phase = 90 degrees



Four-Vane with Windows RFQ (cont.)





Figure 2: view of the RFQ with open outlet flange



Four-Vane with Windows RFQ
(V.Andreev et al, IPAC2011)

Layout of the $\frac{1}{2}$ resonator without end flanges.

Table 1: Main parameters of the RFQ structure

Parameter	Unit	Value
Operating frequency	MHz	81.5
Charge to mass ratio		1/3
Input/output energy	MeV/u	0.02/1.57
Average radius	mm	10
Vane tip radius	mm	7.5
Voltage	kV	182.5
Input emittance (norm)	cm*mrad	0.327
Output emittance (effective)	mm*mrad	$2.3 \cdot \pi$
Input current	mA	100
Pulse repetition	Hz	1
Pulse duration	μ s	100
Output energy spread	keV/u	+/- 20
Length of the RFQ vanes	m	6.258
Inner cavity diameter	m	0.564
Quality factor of the resonator		11000 51

Parameters of Various RFQs (A.Pisent, PAC09)

Table 1: General Parameters of Various RFQs (→ means “non operated”)

RFQ (design by...)		Ion (A/q)	Final energy	Vane voltage	Beam current	Beam power	RF power	Freq.	Length		E _{max}	Av. Power density	Max. Power density
			MeV/u	kV	mA	kW		MHz	m	λ	kip.	W/cm ²	
→ <i>IFMIF EVEDA (LNL)</i>		d	2.5	79-132	130	650	585	175	9.8	5.7	1.8	3.5	30
pulsed	CERN linac 2 (CERN)	p	0.75	178	200	150	440	202	1.8	1.2	2.5	-	-
	SNS (LBNL)	H-	2.5	83	70	175	664	402.5	3.7	5.0	1.85	11.4	10
	CERN linac 3 (LNL)	8.3	0.25	70	0.08	0.04	300	101	2.5	0.8	1.9	-	-
CW high p	LEDA (LANL)	p	6.7	67-117	100	670	1450	350	8	9.3	1.8	11.4	65
	FMIT (LANL)	d	1	185	100	193	407	80	4	1	1	-	-
	→ <i>IPHI (CEA)</i>	p	3	87-123	100	300	750	352	6	7.0	1.7	15	120
	→ <i>TRASCO (LNL)</i>	p	5	68	30	150	847	352	7.3	8.6	1.8	6.6	90
CW med. p	→ <i>SARAF (NTG)</i>	d	1.5	65	4	12	250	176	3.8	2.2	1.4	24	54
	→ <i>SPIRAL2 (CEA)</i>	3	0.75	100-113	5	7.5	170	88	5	1.5	1.65	0.6	19
CW low p	ISAC (TRIUMF)	30	0.15	74	0	0	150	35	8	0.9	1.15	-	-
	PIAVE (LNL)	7.3	0.58	280	0	0	8e-3 (SC)	80	2	0.5	2.1	-	-

Conclusions

- 1. RFQ provides acceleration and focusing by the same electric field.**
- 2. The use of electric field rather than magnetic field provides strong focusing for low-energy particles.**
- 3. RFQ accelerator does not require high-voltage injector.**
- 4. RFQ has large acceptance, high capture efficiency, high peak current, small emittance growth.**
- 5. RFQ accelerating gradient drops with energy. RFQ is effective as an initial part of high-intensity accelerator up to energy of 3-5 Mev per nucleon.**